

A Comparative Study between Diagnostic Nasal Endoscopy (DNE) and CT Scan in Chronic Sinonasal Diseases.

Ehtesham Ahmad Raushan^{1*}, Karthik²

Senior Resident, Department of ENT. NMCH, Patna, Bihar, India. Email:ehtesham26@gmail.com
*Corresponding author

²junior Resident, Department of ENT. NMCH, Patna, Bihar, India.

Received:March 2020 Accepted: March 2020

Abstract

Background: Chronic rhinosinusitis is a very prevalent disease worldwide with great impact on productivity and quality of life. CT scan and endoscopy have revolutionized the diagnosis and management of chronic sinonasal diseases. This study aims to get an insight of advantage of one over the other and if either or both are needed for diagnosis. **Methods:** Fifty patients attending the otolaryngology outpatient department NMCH, Patna fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for chronic rhinosinusitis and willing to participate in the study were included. After optimal antibiotic course, all patients were subjected to DNE and CT scan and result were compared. **Results:** CT scan has distinctive advantage of delineating extent of disease, study of anatomical variation and preoperative planning of case. DNE has better diagnostic value for mucosal and mental secretions and office based evaluation. Both are complimentary to each other. **Conclusion:** This study revealed that sinonasal pathologies are more common in male population in the age group of 20 to 40 years.

Keywords: DNE, Chronic Sinonasal Diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Rhinosinusitis has now been defined as a diagnosis made on clinical grounds based on the presence of characteristic symptoms, combined with objective evidence of mucosal inflammation.[1]Rhinosinusistis can be further categorized as acute being less than 12 weeks duration and chronic being greater.^[1,2] Chronic rhinosinusitis is estimated to affect at least 11 % of the population.[3]Worldwide consequently great economic burden to healthcare systems, to patients and to the economy from loss of productivity in the workplace.^[3,4]

<u>Diagnostic criteria for rhinosinusitis</u> includes:

Primary symptom – Nasal blockage/obstruction/congestion (at least one) Nasal discharge

Additional symptoms – Facial pain/pressure olfactory dysfunction Endoscopic findings – Nasal polyps Mucopurulent discharge (middle meatus) Oedema / mucosal obstruction in middle meatus

CT scan findings –Mucosal changes within the osteomeatal complex and / or sinuses.



Computerized Tomogrphy (CT) provides essential preoperative information and aims to delineate the extent of the disease, define any anatomical variants and relationship of the sinuses with the surrounding important structures

Endoscopic techniques for nose and paranasal sinuses have allowed detailed and complete visualization of sinus disease while minimizing the complications. With the advent of angled scopes, it is possible now to examine and clear disease in nook and corner of paranasal sinuses.

Endoscopic and computerized tomography have revolutionized the understanding and management of chronic sinusitis in recent times.

In this study we have compared the diagnostic.

Endoscopic findings and CT finding of the patients with sinus diseases and to get an insight into the necessity if either or both in combination are needed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The prospective diagnostic study was performed in the department of Otolaryrngology at NMCH, Patna over a period of two years after approval from the institutional ethics committee and written informed consent from each participant. 50 patients clinically diagnosed as suffering from chronic rhinosinusitis after detailed history and clinically examination and not responding to optimal antibiotic course were selected for study.

Exclusion criteria includes patients less than 15 years, those not willing to undergo CT and DNE and those suffering form acute sinusitis. All the participants received a course sntibiotics and antihistaminics before procedure. Diagnostic the endoscopy was reformed with 00 and endoscopes in OPD preparation with 4% lignocaine using standard three pass techiqes6. During DNE suction cleaning of nose was done and after topical decongesation patients were sent for CT scan. Plain CT scan and paranasal sinuses somatom spiral CT scanner with 2 mm slices in coronal, axial and sagittal planes was done. The findings from both the procedures were measured and recorded and statistical analysis was done using SSPS software (Version 21.0)

RESULTS

A total of 50 patients were included in the study in the age group 15-70 years with mean mean age being 34.4 Years.

Table 1: Age distribution

Age group	No.	of	Percentage
	patients		
0 - 20 years	13		26
20 - 40 years	23		46
40 - 60 years	12		24
60 - 80 years	02		04
Total	50	·	100

The present study male preponderance with 66% male and 34% female patients. Male to female ratio is 1.9:1.



Annals of International Medical and Dental Research E-ISSN: 2395-2822 | P-ISSN: 2395-2814 Vol-7, Issue-3 | May-June, 2021

Page no- 85-93 | Section- Research Article (ENT)

Table 2: Sex distribution

Sex	No. Cases	of	Percentage
0 - 20 years	13		26
20 - 40 years	23		46
40 - 60 years	12		24

Common symptoms of the patients are Headache (78%) and Nasal obstruction (74%). Nasal discharge (40%), epistaxis (18%) and other symptoms (8%) like ear block, foul smell etc, are less common. Postnasal discharge is seen in 38% of patients, sneezing in 26% with other symptoms.

Table 3: Symptoms

Symptoms	No.	of	Percentage
	Cases		
Headache	39		78
Nasal	37		74
obstruction			
Nasal	20		40
discharge			
Post Nasal	19		38
discharge			
Sneezing	13		26
Epistaxis	09		18
Others	04		08

In this study, commonest sign is sinus tenderness which is seen in 86% of patients followed by purulent middle meatal discharge in 76% and granular posterior pharyngeal wall in 62% of patients.

Table 4: Signs						
Signs	No. of Case	Percentage				
Nasal	17	34				
mucosa:						
Congested						
Nasal	13	26				
mucosa:						
Pale						
Nasal	11	22				
mucosa:						
normal						
Nasal	09	18				
mucosa:						
Edematous						
Inferior	21	42				
turbinate						
hypertrophy						
Middle	17	34				
turbinate						
hypertrophy						
Middle	38	76				
meatus: Non						
purulent	4.6					
Nasal	16	32				
polyps	40	4.6				
Sinus	43	46				
Tenderness	21	(2				
Granular Posterior	31	62				
pharyngeal wall						
Deviated	23	46				
Nasal	23	40				
septum						
Septum						

In the study, 33 cases have septal deviation using DNE while using CT, 36 cases have septal deviation.

Table 5: Comparative findings in CT and DNE of nasal cavity

	Diagnostic Nasal endoscopy Endoscopy			Computed tomography findings				
	Right	%	Left	%	Right	%	Left	%
Septal deviation	30	36						
Uncinate attachment : to	23	46	23	46	23	46	23	46



lamina papyracea								
Uncinate attachment: to	9	18	10	20	9	18	10	20
middle turbinate								
Unicnate attachment : to	18	36	17	34	18	36	17	34
skull base								
Middle meatus secretions	31	62	27	54	NV*	0	NV	0
Frontal recess patency	25	30	28	56	30	60	30	60
Maxillary ostium patency	18	36	19	38	22	44	17	34

Table 6: Comparative findings in CT and DNE in relation to anatomical variant

_	Diagnostic Nasal endoscopy endoscopy			Computed tomography findings				
	Right	%	Left	%	Right	%	Left	%
Pneumatised uncinated	0	0	1	2	2	4	1	2
Aggernasi	8	16	14	28	15	30	18	36
Haller or Infraorbital cells	NV	0	NV	0	5	10	4	8
Onodi or Sphenoethmoidal	NV	0	NV	0	2	4	0	0
Cells								
Accessory maxillary ostium	11	22	16	32	NV	0	NV	0
presence								
Middle turbinate:	0	0	3	6	1	2	5	10
paradoxical								
Middle turbinate: concha	9	18	10	20	13	26	10	20
bullosa								

Table 7: Comparative finding of CT and DNE of mucosal changes and other pathological conditions

	Diagnostic Nasal endoscopy			Computed tomography				
	endoscopy	endoscopy			findings			
	Right	%	Left	%	Right	%	Left	%
Middle turbinate:	7	14	6	12	8	16	5	10
hypertrophy								
Inferior turbinate:	22	44	22	44	20	40	20	40
hypertrophy								
Inferior turbinate : pale	31	62	31	62	NV	NV	NV	NV
Polyp	13	26	14	28	8	16	12	24
Benign Nasal growth	8	16	3	6	8	16	3	6
Frontal sinus haziness	NV	0	NV	0	23	46	27	54
Anterior ethmoidal cells	NV	0	NV	0	37	74	35	70
haziness								
Maxillary sinus haziness	NV	0	NV	0	28	56	31	62
Sphenoidal sinus haziness	NV	0	NV	0	12	24	8	16
Posterior ethmoidal sinus	NV	0	NV	0	19	38	14	28
haziness								



Table 8: Diagnosis

14210 01 2 146110		
Diagnosis Percentage	No of	
	Patients	
Chronic Rhino Sinuusitis	31	62
(CRS)		
Fungal Rhinosinusitis	6	12
Allergic Rhinitis	7	14
Ethmoidal Polyp	6	12
AC polyp	5	10
FrontoethmoidalMucocoele	1	2
Deviated Nasal Septum	20	40
Atrphic Rhinitis	3	6

DISCUSSION

Age Distribution

As mentioned in [Table 1[, most common age group involved is between 20 – 40 years of age with mean age being 34.4 years. In study conducted by sheetal et a1,^[7] the majority of patients is in the age group of 20 to 40 years.

Sex Distribution

In our study 33 cases (66%) are male while 17 (34%) cases are females. The study conducted by Rafael Jose Geminiani et a1,^[8] the number of male patients was 18 (51.5%) and females was 17 (48.5%). The study of Zojaji et al,^[9] there were male (69%) and 16 female (31%).

Clinical Features

Symptoms:

Nasal obstruction and headache are the commonest symptoms which are present in 37 (74%) and 39 (78%) cases respectively. The next frequently

occurring complaint is nasal discharge present in 20 (40%) cases.

In the study conducted by Zojajiet al9 nasal obstruction is the most common symptom with 51 patients and headache is noted in 37 (72.5%) patients and nasal discharge in 46 (90.1%) patients.

Signs:

The commonest clinical sign present is sinus tenderness, seen in 43 (86%) purulent patients: followed bv discharge in middle meatus in 38(76%) patients and granular posterior pharyngeal wall in 31(62%) patients. septum Deviated nasal seen in23(46%) patients with majority being asymptomatic. Inferior turbinate hypertrophy 21(42%) and middlturbinate hypertrophy 17(34%), congested nasal mucosa in 17(34%) patients, while pale mucosa, is present in 13(26%) patients, the other findings are nasal polyps in 16 (32%0 patients, middle meatal discharge non-purulent in 15(30%) patients and oedematous nasal mucosa in 918%0 patients.

In the study conducted by Venkatchalam V.P, et al,^[10] clinical findings are hypertrophied inferior turbinate (10%0, hypertrophied middle turbinate (17.14%), congested mucous membrane (15.71%), sinus tenderness (7.14%) and ethmoidal polyps (1.8%0.

<u>Comparative findings in CT and DNE</u> of nasal cavity:

Deviated nasal septum: It is seen in 33(66%0 patiens on endoscopy and 36(72%) patients on CT scan, this



difference of 3 cases is accounted for posterior (bony) DNS which can be seen on CT scan but not visualized in DNE either, could be due to extensive mass occupying lesion or gross anterior DNS where endoscope does not aid to visualize the posterior segment of septum.

In the study conducted by FikretKasapoglu et al,^[11] the most common findings are deviated nasal septum noted in 18(41.9%0 cases on CT scan.

In the study conducted by Jreoncharsri P et al,^[12] septal deviation is obvious in 60(72.3%0 of the patients out of 83 cases on DNE.

Unicinate process: On endoscopy as well as CT scan uncinate process is attached to lamina papyracea in 23(46%) patients, both Attachement to skull base is present in 18(36%) cases on right and cases(34%) on lefty and attachment to middle turbinate in 9(18%0 cases on right and 10(20% cases on left. The attachment to the skull base and lamina papyracea is difficult to establish with endoscopy as both have a lateral turn and need to be palpated using a blunt probe to assess the attachment. Sheetal D et al,[7] in their study had similar results.

Middle meatus secretions: In the present study on DEN, mucopurulent discharge in middle meatus is seen in 37(74%0 cases, out of which 17(34%) cases are unilateral and 20(40%0 cases are bilateral. Middle meatus secretions

can only be assessed with DNE but not on CT scan.

In the study conducted by Arun Kumar Patel et al,^[13] on endoscopy, mucopurulent discharge in middle meatus is seen in 58(63%) cases, out of which 34(36.95%) cases are unilateral and 24 (26.08%) cases are bilateral.

Frontal recess patency: It is seen in 25(50%) cases on the right and 28(56%0 cases on the left by DNE and 30(60%) cases each on both sides when seen with CT scan. The DNE had to be done with an angled scope 30 degree in most cases by medializing of the middle turbinate to have a better visualization of the recess.

Maxillary ostium patency:Patency of the maxillary ostium is seen in 18(36%) cases in right and 19(38%) cases on the left on DNE. The patency is assessed with an angled endoscope and in many of the cases with the help of a curved suction tube which can be passed into the ostium, thereby confirming patency even though it is blind procedure. On CT scan the present study shows 22(44%0 on right and 17(34%0 cases on left, has patency.

In the study conducted by Zojaji et al,^[9] maxillary sinus patency is seen in 32(62.7%) on right and 33(64.7%0 on left when seen by CT scan and 35(68.6%0 on both right and left when seen by DNE.

Comparative findings in CT and DNE in relation to anatomical variant.



Uncinate process:Pneumatiseduncinate process is seen in 2 cases (4%0 on the right and one case on the left on CT scan, while on DNE only 1case (2%) is seen on the left.

Aggernasi: It cannot be fully assessed with DNE as only excess pneumatised cells can be seen, which is seen in 8(16%) cases on the right and 14(28%) cases on left whereas on CT scan shows 15 (30%) on right and 18(36%) cases on the left.

Haller cells: In present study these cells are seen in 5 cases on the right and 4 cases on the let which accounted for 10% and 8% respectively on CT scan, but cannot be seen on DNE.

Onodi cells: It is only seen on CT scan in 2(4%0 cases on the right side. Importance of Onodi cells is its close relation to the optic nerve and it can be only appreciated completely in axial cuts of the CT scan.

Accessory maxillary ostium: By DNE 11(22%0 cases on the right and 16 (32%) cases on the left is seen but on CT scan, it cannot be visualized on 5mm or 2mm cuts taken, as the ostia are small enough to be missed between the cuts. Hence one requires 1mm cut sections which cannot be done in our CT scan machine.^[1]

In the study conducted by Sheetal D et al,^[7] accessory maxillary ostium was present in 13% and 11% patients on the right and left sides respectively.

Middle turbinate conch bullosa & paradoxical turbinate: Middle turbinate concha bullosa is the most

common variation present, seen both in DNE and CT scan. 9(18%) cases of concha bullosa is seen in right side and 10 (20%) cases on the left side on DNE whereas CT scan shows 13(26%) on the right and 10(20%) on the left side. The whereas on CT scan 1 (2%) case is seen on the right and 5 (10%0 is seen on the left side.

Comparative study of CT and DNE on mucosal changes and other pathological conditions.

Hypertrophy of Middle turbinate: It is seen in 7(14%) cases on the right and 6(12%) on the left side on DNE, but CT scan shows 8(16%0 cases on the right and 5(10%0 cases on the left side. The hypertrophy of the middle turbinate is mostly seen in cases with allergy. Zojaji et al,^[9] had similar results in their study.

Inferior turbinate hypertrophy: It is seen in 22 (44%) patients on both right and left on DNE, whereas on CT scan shows 20 (40%0 on both the left and right side. Pale inferior turbinate is evident in 31 cases on the right (62%) and 31 cases on the let (62%). Whereas this finding is not appreciated on CT scan, hence indicting that the condition of the mucosa whether pale, congested and edematous can only be clearly appreciated on DNE, whereas CT scan holds no diagonostic value about the condition of the mucosa.

In the study conducted by S. Naghibi et al,^[14] hypertrophy of the inferior turbinate is the most obvious finding in the CT scan (70.6%) as well as in endoscopic evaluation (68.6%).



Polyp: It is seen in 13(26%) cases on the right side and 14(28%) cases on the left on DNE whereas 8(16%) cases on right side and 12(24%) cases on left side by CT scan, thereby showing that DNE is of more diagnostic value in evaluating polyps.

Benign nasal growth: They are seen in 8(16%) cases on the right side and 3(6%) on the left side both in CT and in DNE, But DNE gives a much better view of the lesion its surface, consistency, margins etc.

Sinus haziness: Frontal sinus haziness can only be seen in CT scan as frontal sinus itself cannot be visualized with DNE. 23(46%) cases on the right and 27(54%) cases on the left have frontal sinus haziness on CT scans.

Anterior ethmoidal and maxillary sinus haziness can only be seen in CT scan as DNE cannot be used to assess the condition of the sinus cavity except for their ostium. Anterior ethmoidal cells are hazy in 37(62%) cases on left indicating anterior group pathology to be more prevalent in our study.

Sphenoid sinus haziness is seen in 12 cases (24%) on the right and 8 cases (16%0 on the left on CT scans.

Posterior ethmoidal sinus haziness is seen in 19 cases (38%) on the right and 14 cases (28%) on the left side which is seen in majority of the cases associated with anterior ethmoidal sinus disease. On DNE posterior ethmoidal sinus cannot be assessed.

Diagnosis

the present study [Table maximum bumbers of patients have diagnosed with Chronic Rhinosinusitis (62%)]. [31 patients 7(14%), Allergic rhinitis fungal Rhinosinusitis 6(12%0 cases. Deviated nasal septum diagnosed in 20(40%), Antrochonal poly 5 (10%0)Frontoethmoidalmucocele is seen in 1(2%) of the cases. Other benign lesions like inverted papilloma, Rhinoscleroma, Atrophic rhinitis etc is seen in 4(8%) which have been confirmed with a histopathological study conducted the biopsy on specimen taken during diagnostic nasal endoscopy.

CONCLUSION

- 1. This study revealed that sinonasal pathologies are more common in male population in the age group of 20 to 40 years.
- 2. CT scan has got a better advantage compared to DNE in detecting the anatomical variants as well as know the condition of sinus cavity and the extent of disease in sinuses.
- 3. DNE can prove to be a better diagnostic modality compared to CT scan when conditions like middle meatal secretions, condition of mucosa, polyps are looked for.
- 4. In pathological benign nasal mass histopathological is essential for its diagnosis.
- 5. Best approach would be to go for DNE for early assessment, give adequate medical treatment and hence forth CT



- scan for surgical planning and assessment of extent of disease.
- 6. Both CT scan and DNE are complimentary to each other.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

Authors are thankful to their faculty members for their proper guidance and the staffs of ENT and Radiology department of NMCH Patna for their support and help. Authors are also grateful to patients and all those who helped in the study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Mullol J, etal.European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012. Rhinolsuppl 2012; (23):3 p preceding table of contents, 1-298.
- 2. Chan Y, Kuhn FA. An update on the classifications, diagnosis, and treatment of rhinosinusitis. CurropinOtolaryngol Head neck Surg 2009; 23(4):204-8.
- 3. Erskine SE, Verkerk MM, Notley C, et al. Chronic rhinosinusitis: patients experiences of primary and secondary care a qualitative study ClinOtolaryngol 2015; 41: 8-14.
- 4. Bhattacharyya N. Contemparary assessment of the disease burden of sinusitis. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2009; 23(4): 392-5.
- 5. Fikerkasapaoglu, Selcukonart, Oguzbasut: Preoperative evaluation of Chronic Rhinosinusitis patients by conventional radiographies, computed tomography and nasal endoscopy. Kulak BurunBogazthtisDerg 2009; 19(4): 184-191.
- Eloy JA, Friedel ME, Murray KP, Liu JK. Modified hemilothrop procedure for supraorbital frontal sinus access: a cadaveric feasibility study. Otolaryngology – head Neck Surg. 2011; 145 (3):489-93.
- 7. Sheetal D, Devan PP, Manjunath P, Martin P, Satish kumar K, Sreekantha ST, manjunatha GB. CT PNS-D3 We really RequirBefore FESS? J ClinDlagn Res 2011;5(2):179-81.

- 8. Geminini RJ, vitale RF, et al. Comparison Between Computed Tomography scan and Nasal Endoscopy in Diagnosis of Chronic Rhinosinusitis Int. Arch. Otorhinolaryngol. Sao Paulo, v.11n.4, p. 402-405m 2007.
- 9. Zojaji R, naghibi S, hesari MK, hashemi AH. Sinus CT scan and functional Endoscopic sinus Surgery findings in chronic sinusitis: A Comparative study. Iranian J Rodiol. 2008;5(1):13.
- 10. Venkatachalam VP, Bhat A. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery-A newer surgical concept in the management of chronic sinusitis. Indian JOtolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1999;52(1):13-6.
- 11. Fikerkasapoglu, Selcukonart, Oguzbasut: Preoperatvie evaluation of chronic Rhinosinusitis patients by onventional radiographies, computed tomography and nasal endoscopy. Kulak BurunBogazthtisDerg 2009;19(4): 184-191.
- 12. jareoncharsri P, Thitadilok V, bunnog. Cetal:Nasal endoscopic findings in patients with perennial allergic rhinitis. Asian pac J Allergy Immunol. 1999 Dec; 17(4):261-7.
- 13. Patel AK, Sing B, Sharma MK: The study of functional endoscopic sinus surgery in patients of sinus headache. International journal of Biology and medial research. 2012;3(3):1924-1930.
- 14. Naghibi S, Zojaji R, hesari MK, Hashemi AH. Sinus CT scan and functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery findings in chronic sinusitis: A Comparative Study. Iranian Journol of Radiology. 2008; 5(S1): 13-13.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared